

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,115	09	/19/2003	Russell Norman Mirov	SUN03-0112	8531
22200 7590 10/03/2005				EXAMINER	
		FLEMING LLI	DINH, TUAN T		
39180 LIBER SUITE 103	RTY STRE	ET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FREMONT,	CA 9453	8		2841	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
<i>i</i>		10/667,115	MIROV, RUSSELL NORMAN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tuan T. Dinh	2841	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
WHI0 - External after af	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the manned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)□		——· his action is non-final.	•	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prospection as to the morite is	
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Dianasi		· Expante Quayle, 1000 O.B.	11, 400 0.0. 210.	
	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
	· / ——		•	
	,			
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers		•	
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		v the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	-	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 LLS C. S.	110(a) (d) ar (6)	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn phonty under 33 0.3.C. 9	119(a)-(d) 01 (l).	
-/-	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ints have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		aliantia a N.	
			eceived in this National Stage	
* 0	application from the International Bure	, ,,		
`	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	scorule cerulied copies not r	sceivea.	
		·		
Attachmen	t(s)			
I) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0- er No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) ☐ Notice of Infe 6) ☐ Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/667,115

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-26, drawn to a circuit board, an electronic assembly, and a mechanism, classified in class 361, subclass 777.
 - II. Claims 27-33, drawn to a method of ensuring the disablement of an electronic assembly, classified in class 29, subclass 829+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product, for example, the method can be made without the step of separation means for facilitating of the mechanism from the circuit board.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie I Figure 1.

Specie II Figure 2.

Specie III Figure 3.

Specie IV Figure 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VerMI,

Tuan Dinh

September 29, 2005.